	Case 3:07-cv-05675-RJB-JKA D	Document 17	Filed 05/15/08	Page 1 of 2
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON			
11	AT TACOMA			
12		[
13	KEVIN D. LIPSKA,			
14	Plaintiff, v.			
15	,,		Case No. C07-567	5RJB/JKA
16 17	CORRECTIONAL OFFICER NILES et a		ORDER DENYING ECOND MOTIO	
18	Defendants.	A	APPOINTMENT (OF COUNSEL
19				
20	This Civil Rights action has been referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge pursuant to Title 28			
21	U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B). Before the court is a motion in which plaintiff seeks a temporary restraining order			
22	and again asks for appointment of counsel (Dkt. # 15). The motion for a temporary restraining order must			
23	be addressed in a separate Report and Recommendation.			
24	This is the second motion for appointment of counsel in this action. Plaintiff has recently been			
25	moved to the Washington State Penitentiary, Intensive Management Unit, IMU (Dkt # 15). He alleges he			
26	is having difficulty accessing his legal materials for this case. While this housing change may restrict his			
27	access to materials, he has not shown appointment of counsel is necessary. Normally, when the court			
28	receives a repetitive motion the court cautions the party that such motions may result in sanctions. Given			
	ORDER Page - 1			

the change in housing the court does not find this motion to be improper.

There is no right to have counsel appointed in cases brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

Although the court, under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1), can request counsel to represent a party proceeding *in forma pauperis*, the court may do so only in exceptional circumstances. Wilborn v. Escalderon, 789 F.2d 1328, 1331 (9th Cir. 1986); Franklin v. Murphy, 745 F.2d 1221, 1236 (9th Cir. 1984); Aldabe v. Aldabe, 616 F.2d 1089 (9th Cir. 1980). A finding of exceptional circumstances requires an evaluation of both the likelihood of success on the merits and the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his claims *pro se* in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. Wilborn, 789 F.2d at 1331.

Plaintiff has demonstrated an adequate ability to articulate his claims *pro se*. This case does not involve exceptional circumstances which warrant appointment of counsel. Accordingly, Plaintiff's second motion for appointment of counsel, (Dkt. # 15), is **DENIED**.

The Clerk is directed to send a copy of this Order to plaintiff and counsel for defendants.

DATED this 15th day of May, 2008.

/s/ J. Kelley Arnold

J. Kelley Arnold

United States Magistrate Judge